## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ZUCKERBERG and DAVID M. WEHNER,

FACEBOOK, INC., MARK E.

Case No.

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants

Plaintiff \_\_\_\_\_\_ ("Plaintiff"), individually and on behalf of all other persons similarly situated, by Plaintiff's undersigned attorneys, for Plaintiff's complaint against Defendants (defined below), alleges the following based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's own acts, and information and belief as to all other matters, based upon, *inter alia*, the investigation conducted by and through Plaintiff's attorneys, which included, among other things, a review of the Defendants' public documents, conference calls and announcements made by Defendants, United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings, wire and press releases published by and regarding Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook" or the "Company"), analysts' reports and advisories about the Company, and information readily obtainable on the Internet. Plaintiff believes that substantial evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is a federal securities class action on behalf of a class consisting of all persons other than Defendants who purchased or otherwise acquired common shares of Facebook between April 26, 2018 and July 25, 2018, both dates inclusive (the "Class Period"). Plaintiff seeks to recover

compensable damages caused by Defendants' violations of the federal securities laws and to pursue remedies under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.

- 2. Facebook operates a social networking website that allows people to communicate with their family, friends, and coworkers. Facebook develops technologies that facilitate the sharing of information, photographs, website links, and videos. Facebook users have the ability to share and restrict information based on their own specific criteria. By the end of 2017, Facebook had more than 2.2 billion active users.
- 3. Founded in 2004, the Company is headquartered in Menlo Park, California. The Company's common stock trades on the NASDAQ Global Select Market ("NASDAQ") under the ticker symbol "FB."
- 4. Throughout the Class Period, Defendants made materially false and misleading statements regarding the Company's business, operational and compliance policies. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) the number of daily and monthly active Facebook users was declining; and (ii) as a result, Facebook's public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.
- 5. On July 25, 2018, post-market, Facebook announced its financial and operating results for the second quarter of 2018. The Company reported revenues and numbers of daily and monthly active users that fell short of market expectations. On a conference call discussing Facebook's financial outlook, the Company's chief financial officer stated that Facebook expected its revenue growth to slow and its operating margins to fall.
- 6. On this news, Facebook's share price fell \$41.24, or 18.96%, to close at \$176.25 on July 27, 2017.

7. As a result of Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, and the precipitous decline in the market value of the Company's common shares, Plaintiff and other Class members have suffered significant losses and damages.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. The claims asserted herein arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §§78j(b) and §78t(a)) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC (17 C.F.R. §240.10b-5).
- 9. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §27 of the Exchange Act.
- 10. Venue is proper in this Judicial District pursuant to §27 of the Exchange Act (15 U.S.C. §78aa) and 28 U.S.C. §1391(b). Facebook's principal executive offices are located within this Judicial District.
- 11. In connection with the acts, conduct and other wrongs alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, directly or indirectly, used the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, including but not limited to, the United States mail, interstate telephone communications and the facilities of the national securities exchange.

#### **PARTIES**

- 12. Plaintiff, as set forth in the accompanying Certification, purchased common shares of Facebook at artificially inflated prices during the Class Period and was damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosure.
- 13. Defendant Facebook, Inc. is incorporated in Delaware, and the Company's principal executive offices are located at 1601 Willow Road, Menlo Park, California 94025. Facebook's securities trade on the NASDAQ under the ticker symbol "FB."

- 14. Defendant Zuckerberg has served at all relevant times as the Company's CEO and Chairman.
- 15. Defendant David M. Wehner ("Wehner") has served at all relevant times as the Company's Chief Financial Officer ("CFO").
- 16. The Defendants referenced above in ¶¶ \_\_-\_ are sometimes referred to- herein as the "Individual Defendants."
- 17. The Individual Defendants possessed the power and authority to control the contents of Facebook's SEC filings, press releases, and other market communications. The Individual Defendants were provided with copies of the Company's SEC filings and press releases alleged herein to be misleading prior to or shortly after their issuance and had the ability and opportunity to prevent their issuance or to cause them to be corrected. Because of their positions with the Company, and their access to material information available to them but not to the public, the Individual Defendants knew that the adverse facts specified herein had not been disclosed to and were being concealed from the public, and that the positive representations being made were then materially false and misleading. The Individual Defendants are liable for the false statements and omissions pleaded herein.

### SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

## **Background**

18. Facebook operates a social networking website that allows people to communicate with their family, friends, and coworkers. Facebook develops technologies that facilitate the sharing of information, photographs, website links, and videos. Facebook users have the ability to share and restrict information based on their own specific criteria. By the end of 2017, Facebook had more than 2.2 billion active users.

## Materially False and Misleading Statements Issued During the Class Period

19. The statements referenced in ¶¶ \_\_-\_ above were materially false and/or misleading because they misrepresented and/or failed to disclose the following adverse facts pertaining to the Company's business, operational and financial results, which were known to Defendants or recklessly disregarded by them. Specifically, Defendants made false and/or misleading statements and/or failed to disclose that: (i) the number of daily and monthly active Facebook users was declining; and (ii) as a result, Facebook's public statements were materially false and misleading at all relevant times.

## The Truth Begins To Emerge

- 20. On July 25, 2018, post-market, Facebook announced its financial and operating results for the second quarter of 2018. The Company reported revenues and numbers of daily and monthly active users that fell short of market expectations. On a conference call discussing Facebook's financial outlook, the Company's chief financial officer stated that Facebook expected its revenue growth to slow and its operating margins to fall.
- 21. On this news, Facebook's share price fell \$41.24, or 18.96%, to close at \$176.25 on July 27, 2017.

## PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 22. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(a) and (b)(3) on behalf of a Class, consisting of all those who purchased or otherwise acquired Facebook common shares traded on the NASDAQ during the Class Period (the "Class"); and were damaged upon the revelation of the alleged corrective disclosures. Excluded from the Class are Defendants herein, the officers and directors of the Company, at all relevant times, members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.
- 23. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Throughout the Class Period, Facebook common shares were actively traded on the NASDAQ. While

through appropriate discovery, Plaintiff believes that there are hundreds or thousands of members in the proposed Class. Record owners and other members of the Class may be identified from records maintained by Facebook or its transfer agent and may be notified of the pendency of this action by mail, using the form of notice similar to that customarily used in securities class actions.

- 24. Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the members of the Class as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal law that is complained of herein.
- 25. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the members of the Class and has retained counsel competent and experienced in class and securities litigation. Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to or in conflict with those of the Class.
- 26. Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Class and predominate over any questions solely affecting individual members of the Class. Among the questions of law and fact common to the Class are:
  - whether the federal securities laws were violated by Defendants' acts as alleged herein;
  - whether statements made by Defendants to the investing public during the Class Period misrepresented material facts about the financial condition, business, operations, and management of Facebook;
  - whether Defendants caused Facebook to issue false and misleading financial statements during the Class Period;
  - whether Defendants acted knowingly or recklessly in issuing false and misleading financial statements;
  - whether the prices of Facebook securities during the Class Period were artificially inflated because of Defendants' conduct complained of herein; and
  - whether the members of the Class have sustained damages and, if so, what is the proper measure of damages.

- 27. A class action is superior to all other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for members of the Class to individually redress the wrongs done to them. There will be no difficulty in the management of this action as a class action.
- 28. Plaintiff will rely, in part, upon the presumption of reliance established by the fraud-on-the-market doctrine in that:
  - Defendants made public misrepresentations or failed to disclose material facts during the Class Period;
  - the omissions and misrepresentations were material;
  - Facebook common shares are traded in efficient markets;
  - the Company's shares were liquid and traded with moderate to heavy volume during the Class Period;
  - the Company traded on the NASDAQ, and was covered by multiple analysts;
  - the misrepresentations and omissions alleged would tend to induce a reasonable investor to misjudge the value of the Company's common shares; and
  - Plaintiff and members of the Class purchased and/or sold Facebook common shares between the time the Defendants failed to disclose or misrepresented material facts and the time the true facts were disclosed, without knowledge of the omitted or misrepresented facts.
- 29. Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to a presumption of reliance upon the integrity of the market.
- 30. Alternatively, Plaintiff and the members of the Class are entitled to the presumption of reliance established by the Supreme Court in *Affiliated Ute Citizens of the State of Utah v. United States*, 406 U.S. 128, 92 S. Ct. 2430 (1972), as Defendants omitted material information in their Class Period statements in violation of a duty to disclose such information, as detailed above.

#### **COUNT I**

# Violation of Section 10(b) of The Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 <u>Against All Defendants</u>

- 31. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained above as if fully set forth herein.
- 32. This Count is asserted against Facebook and the Individual Defendants and is based upon Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.
- 33. During the Class Period, Facebook and the Individual Defendants, individually and in concert, directly or indirectly, disseminated or approved the false statements specified above, which they knew or deliberately disregarded were misleading in that they contained misrepresentations and failed to disclose material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.
- 34. Facebook and the Individual Defendants violated §10(b) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 in that they:
  - employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud;
  - made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; or
  - engaged in acts, practices and a course of business that operated as a fraud or deceit upon plaintiff and others similarly situated in connection with their purchases of Facebook common shares during the Class Period.
- 35. Facebook and the Individual Defendants acted with scienter in that they knew that the public documents and statements issued or disseminated in the name of Facebook were materially false and misleading; knew that such statements or documents would be issued or disseminated to the investing public; and knowingly and substantially participated, or acquiesced in the issuance or dissemination of such statements or documents as primary violations of the securities laws. These

Defendants by virtue of their receipt of information reflecting the true facts of Facebook, their control over, and/or receipt and/or modification of Facebook allegedly materially misleading statements, and/or their associations with the Company which made them privy to confidential proprietary information concerning Facebook, participated in the fraudulent scheme alleged herein.

- 36. Individual Defendants, who are the senior officers and/or directors of the Company, had actual knowledge of the material omissions and/or the falsity of the material statements set forth above, and intended to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, acted with reckless disregard for the truth when they failed to ascertain and disclose the true facts in the statements made by them or other Facebook personnel to members of the investing public, including Plaintiff and the Class.
- 37. As a result of the foregoing, the market price of Facebook common shares was artificially inflated during the Class Period. In ignorance of the falsity of Facebook's and the Individual Defendants' statements, Plaintiff and the other members of the Class relied on the statements described above and/or the integrity of the market price of Facebook common shares during the Class Period in purchasing Facebook common shares at prices that were artificially inflated as a result of Facebook's and the Individual Defendants' false and misleading statements.
- 38. Had Plaintiff and the other members of the Class been aware that the market price of Facebook common shares had been artificially and falsely inflated by Facebook's and the Individual Defendants' misleading statements and by the material adverse information which Facebook's and the Individual Defendants did not disclose, they would not have purchased Facebook's common shares at the artificially inflated prices that they did, or at all.
- 39. As a result of the wrongful conduct alleged herein, Plaintiff and other members of the Class have suffered damages in an amount to be established at trial.

40. By reason of the foregoing, Facebook and the Individual Defendants have violated Section 10(b) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and are liable to the plaintiff and the other members of the Class for substantial damages which they suffered in connection with their purchase of Facebook common shares during the Class Period.

#### **COUNT II**

## Violation of Section 20(a) of The Exchange Act Against The Individual Defendants

- 41. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 42. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation and management of Facebook, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of Facebook's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-public information regarding the Company's inadequate internal safeguards in data security protocols.
- 43. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to Facebook's financial condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public statements issued by Facebook which had become materially false or misleading.
- 44. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and public filings which Facebook disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their power and authority to cause Facebook to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore, were "controlling persons" of Facebook within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they

- 1	
1	participated in the unlawful conduct alleged which artificially inflated the market price of Facebook
2	common shares.
3	45. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section
4	20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by Facebook.
5	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
6	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants as follows:
7 8	A. Determining that the instant action may be maintained as a class action under Rule 23 or
9	the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and certifying Plaintiff as the Class representative;
10	B. Requiring Defendants to pay damages sustained by Plaintiff and the Class by reason of
11	the acts and transactions alleged herein;
12	
13	C. Awarding Plaintiff and the other members of the Class prejudgment and post- judgment
14	interest, as well as their reasonable attorneys' fees, expert fees and other costs; and
15	D. Awarding such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.
16	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY
17	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.
18	Dated:, 2018
19 20	
$\begin{bmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{bmatrix}$	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11